

Notice of a meeting of Planning Committee

Thursday, 21 March 2019 6.00 pm

Membership		
Councillors:	Simon Wheeler, Garth Barnes (Chair), Paul Baker (Vice-Chair),	
	Stephen Cooke, Diggory Seacome, Victoria Atherstone,	
	Bernard Fisher, Dilys Barrell, Mike Collins, Alex Hegenbarth,	
	Karl Hobley, Paul McCloskey, Tony Oliver, Dennis Parsons	
	(Reserve), John Payne and Rowena Hay	
The Council has a substitution process and any substitutions will be announced at the		

The Council has a substitution process and any substitutions will be announced at the meeting

Agenda

c) 18/02581/FUL Cromwell Court, Greenway Lane, Charlton Kings (Pages 5 - 8)

Contact Officer: Judith Baker, Planning Committee Co-ordinator, Email: <u>builtenvironment@cheltenham.gov.uk</u>

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6c

APPLICATION NO: 18/02581/FUL		OFFICER: Mr Joe Seymour	
DATE REGISTERED: 19th December 2018		DATE OF EXPIRY : 13th February 2019	
WARD: Battledown		PARISH: CHARLK	
APPLICANT:	Mr And Mrs Cassidy		
LOCATION:	Cromwell Court, Greenway Lane, Charlton Kings		
PROPOSAL:	02581/FUL CDemolition of existing dwelling and construction of 8 x self & custom build dwellings with associated works and infrastructure, including sustainable drainage, new internal access roads, improvements to existing internal access road, site regrading and landscape planting		

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATION

Hallam Oaks Greenway Lane Charlton Kings Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL52 6PN

Comments: 18th March 2019 Letter attached.

Hallam Oaks, The Manning Officer, Page 6 Greenway Lave, Cheltenham Barough Conneil PLANNING Charlton Kings, Municipal officer, Chellenhau. Rec'd 1 8 MAR 2019 (JS.) The Fromewoode, GLS26PN Chellenham. SERVICES GLSO IPP. 15th March 2019 Year Siv, Flauming application - 18/02581/Ful - Crouwell Comt, Greenway Lane, Charlton Kinge. Demolition of Existing Awelling and Construction of & large dwelling's. With regard to the above munbored Kanning application I am writing to endorce all the rearrand and excellent, relevant pointe Varied in the letter submitted from Greenway Farm, Greenway Lane, ou 25.01-2019 (having first sought the writer's concert). 2. I also support the essential points made in the letter from 4 Charlton Comt Road, Charlton Kings, and stress Mat this is not a Brown field site (as several letter from the outlying alea midicate), but an Quea of Outstanding Natural Beauty - an enormour difference. The issue with the entrest would need deep modeligation, as would the water envelace flow as it currently rune down Greenway Lane itself. It is also work. beading in mind duct there are many underground springs in mis alea 3. Again, I support the letter from 3 Matter Cotrager, Ham Lane, with particular reference to paragraph &. Indeed, I have asked the Vorg same question: Why were the earth moving machines moved in thus dieplacing the existing landscape, a seemingly

excess of trees removed (oak michad and wild life disturbed? Surely this must contrare the Earsword Queer of Outstanding Matural Beauty?

4. Two letters from Wadleys Page 7tam Lane, also have my Support particularly as I, too, had had no notification of this very important project proposed for Greenway Lowe. 5. Two letters from Campaign to Protoct Rural Sugland, Vecenied by yourselver on 17.01.209 and 24.01. 2019, ave of great importance, particularly the latter choosing clearly the Esvenant covering the Cromwell Could land. Let us not forget that located just beyond the back of the property ie tre historie Hewlette Roman Camp este. 6. Ou reading through all the letter in support of this Planning application, I have been left puzzled as many lettere refer to an "unsightly Tlock Tudor Building" or an "existing eyesore". I have had the great pleasure in residing in the country eide of Greenvery Lane for 51 years (even lager than the owner of Greenway Farm!). Since the late 1970; early 1980; (when Cromwell Comt was built by a Mr. K. Hamer) I have only over heard glowing comments of that beautiful house set in its mature woodland" de 5 enlightemed one of the planning office Braff, the house has only ever been "mode Tudor", so what har changed? The, during the last 3 Or so years it har been left to deteriorate some shar and, as has already been mentioned, most of the woodland has been removed and much of the land ve-shaped. But, possibly, it could be vostored? 7. To my mind, one of the bait aspects of the property lice internally, as it contains much exquisite wood panelling, a majestie stawcase, a magnificent gallery (all in oak/mahogany) and the lay-out is superb. The wood furnithings came from the beautiful and unique Moovord Park HStel, Charlton Kings, that was, itself, built around 1835-1840 as a "Velvent" for a weathy Birningham business man. The magical, Bavavian, chatel-Styled hotel was buildozed down in 1979

to make way for - quere Page 8an estate of house. another historic building that this town should not have lost. Should ha same fake befall Cromwell Court then I hope that there historic, wood furnishings will be rescued. The Hotel is frequently mentioned in the Gloncestershive Zcho's mostalqic paque. 8. Finailly, not discrimilar to Greenway Farm's letter, I feel that, possibly, one or two acchietically decigned houses, along with Cronwell Court being restored, inclead of being converted into flate, I believe, then that might be a consideration for this alea. But, as the current Planning Application Grands, T have to OBTECT to the proposed & modern, angular, javning dwellinge, as I feel they would clark with the natural, smooth contours of nature's land in this, still, beautiful Cotswold Diver of Outstanding Matural Beauty. Jales fear the proposed dwellinge would nie conciderably over the height of Turnpike House and be a blot on the landscape" when viewed from agos Hill, etc. Thy view bears no reflection on the architectic designe ov skille but, in this melance, I feel it is like putting a somare pequilo a round hole - even regardless of the

legalitier mistued.

Voue fouthefully,

Pelivered by hand on 16.03.2019